

SUSTAINABLE ARCHITECTURE

Between Measurement and Meaning

Edited by

Carmela Cucuzzella

Concordia University, Canada

and

Sherif Goubran

The American University in Cairo, Egypt

Series in Built Environment



VERNON PRESS

Copyright © 2020 by Cucuzzella and Goubran.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Vernon Art and Science Inc.
www.vernonpress.com

In the Americas:
Vernon Press
1000 N West Street, Suite 1200,
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
United States

In the rest of the world:
Vernon Press
C/Sancti Espiritu 17,
Malaga, 29006
Spain

Series in Built Environment

Library of Congress Control Number: 2020942562

ISBN: 978-1-64889-047-5

Product and company names mentioned in this work are the trademarks of their respective owners. While every care has been taken in preparing this work, neither the authors nor Vernon Art and Science Inc. may be held responsible for any loss or damage caused or alleged to be caused directly or indirectly by the information contained in it.

Every effort has been made to trace all copyright holders, but if any have been inadvertently overlooked the publisher will be pleased to include any necessary credits in any subsequent reprint or edition.

Cover designed by Maddy Capozzi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
ABOUT THE EDITORS	xi
ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS	xiii
ABOUT THE FOREWORD AUTHOR	xvii
FOREWORD	
Walking the wire – sustainability + design in an uncertain ethos	xix
Brian R. Sinclair <i>University of Calgary</i>	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xxiii
INTRODUCTION	
Caught between measurement and meaning	1
Carmela Cucuzzella <i>Concordia University</i>	
Sherif Goubran <i>The American University in Cairo</i>	
CHAPTER 1	
Zoom-in, Zoom-out – Sustainability in the Scale(s) of Architecture	14
Anne Cormier <i>Université de Montréal</i>	
CHAPTER 2	
Sustainable architecture as facticity, perception, and potential	24
Carmela Cucuzzella <i>Concordia University</i>	

CHAPTER 3 Technological trajectories: Assessing the role of sensing in design	46
Nada Tarkhan <i>Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)</i>	
CHAPTER 4 Recognising effectiveness in sustainable design	70
Tom Jefferies <i>Queen's University Belfast</i> Laura Coucill <i>Manchester School of Architecture</i>	
CHAPTER 5 Connections of immaterial to sustainable tectonics	98
Izabel Amaral <i>Laurentian University</i>	
CHAPTER 6 Intentions and Consequences: Prototyping and Appropriate Technology	118
Ted Cavanagh <i>Dalhousie University</i>	
EPILOGUE	143
Carmela Cucuzzella <i>Concordia University</i> Sherif Goubran <i>The American University in Cairo</i>	
INDEX	147

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Bibliothèque du Boisé. St. Laurent, Montreal, Canada - 2014	30
Figure 2.2	The Centre for Sustainable Energy Technologies. Ningbo, China - 2008	31
Figure 2.3	Médiathèque François Villon. Bourg-la-Reine, France - 2014	33
Figure 2.4	Ningbo History Museum. Ningbo, Zhejiang, China - 2008	34
Figure 2.5	SOF - Danish National Maritime Museum. Helsingør, Denmark - 2013	35
Figure 2.6	Ballard Library. Seattle, Washington - 2013	38
Figure 2.7	Water and Life Museum complex. Hemet, California - 2008	39
Figure 3.1	Scalar analysis	47
Figure 3.2	Cycle of innovation and market adoption in the wellness field	54
Figure 3.3	Sensor kit for indoor environmental parameters	56
Figure 3.4	Preview of the online web-tool's dashboard	57
Figure 3.5	CO ₂ measurements in old and new office for one working day	58
Figure 3.6	Daily circadian lighting operation	59
Figure 3.7	Lighting equivalent melanopic lux (EML) measurements for one working day	60
Figure 4.1	Visualising the complex relationship between data and space in order to demonstrate the limitations of efficiency-driven approaches to sustainable design	71
Figure 4.2	Electricity sub-station building converted into Coffee Shop, Alexandria, Sydney NSW	80
Figure 4.3	Infrastructure Space: An examination of the spatial requirements for wind and solar energy in the Scottish Highland region	82

Figure 4.4	Infrastructure Space: An examination of the spatial relationship between renewable energy production and demand in Cornwall	82
Figure 4.5	Infrastructure Space: Dispersed Urban network analysis of the Scottish Highland region in 2015/16	84
Figure 4.6	Infrastructure Space: Cornwall Garden City. Connectivity and Networks analysis of Cornwall and the Isles of Scilly	86
Figure 4.7	Winning scheme, Whitefield Housing international Housing Competition (2006). Whitefield, Nelson, UK	87
Figure 4.8	Infrastructure Space: Patterns of Power - Visualising pattern types which generate cultural landscapes; buildings augment and reveal latent contextual correlations.	88
Figure 4.9	Infrastructure Space: Patterns of Power - Geo-thermal power plant, Penzance UK	90
Figure 5.1	Tridimensional diagram summarizing Gottfried Semper's theory	102
Figure 5.2	First-year Studio assignment, study on the notions of Roofwork and Earthwork – 2018	106
Figure 5.3	The performance Dancing Geometry presented at the 3 rd Nuit Blanche. Sudbury, Ontario - 2019	107
Figure 5.4	The building activities for the Ice Station. Sudbury, Ontario - 2018.	110
Figure 5.5	Ice Station's structural details. Sudbury, Ontario - 2018	111
Figure 5.6	Ice Station constructed and in its context. Sudbury, Ontario – 2018. Author's photo.	112
Figure 5.7	Details of Jean-Marc Dalpé's engraved poem on the Ice Station's horizontal boards. Sudbury, Ontario – 2018. Author's photo	113
Figure 6.1	Le Petit Cercle, Children's Theatre – 2004	119
Figure 6.2	Marché Fermier, Farmers' Market – 2014	120
Figure 6.3	Design and construction experimentation for the two featured projects	121
Figure 6.4	A map for critical clarification between prototyping and appropriate technology – focusing on the factors of the two featured projects	122

Figure 6.5	Wood cribs containing rock ballast in “Le Petit Cercle” - 2004	126
Figure 6.6	The creation of “Le Petit Cercle”, showing the crib work and curvature - 2004	127
Figure 6.7	Negotiating the location of “Le Petit Cercle” on the site in reference to the existing slide - 2004	128
Figure 6.8	The ‘noded-out’ base connection of “Le Marché Fermier”- 2014	129
Figure 6.9	The double curvature in the plan of “Le Marché Fermier”- 2014	129
Figure 6.10	Physical model for “Le Marché Fermier”- 2014	130
Figure 6.11	Digital model for “Le Marché Fermier” - 2014	131
Figure 6.12	“Le Marché Fermier” in place - 2014	131
Figure 6.13	“Le Marché Fermier” in use - 2014	132

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	General distinction between facticity, potentiality, and perception as means for sustainable architectural design inquiry	27
Table 2.2	Examples of concerns within ontological categories of facticity, potential, and perception for a sustainable architecture project	41

ABOUT THE EDITORS

Carmela Cucuzzella is the chairholder of the Concordia University Research Chair in Integrated Design, Ecology, and Sustainability for the Built Environment (IDEAS-BE). She is also an Associate Professor in the Design and Computation Arts department in the Faculty of Fine Arts, at Concordia University.

Sherif Goubran is an Instructor at the Department of Architecture at the School of Science and Engineering at the American University in Cairo (Egypt). He is also a PhD candidate in the Individualized Program at Concordia University and a Vanier Scholar (SSHRC). His interdisciplinary research is focused on sustainable building practices within the fields of design, building engineering and finance.

ABOUT THE CONTRIBUTORS

Anne Cormier

*Principal at Atelier Big City, Montreal Canada
Professor, School of Architecture - Université de Montréal*

Ms. Cormier is co-founder of Atelier Big City (Cormier, Cohen, Davies, architectes), a group of Montreal architects recognized for the quality of its architectural and urban projects. Founded in 1987, Atelier Big City received the Prix de Rome in Architecture from the Canada Council for the Arts, the Governor General's medal and the grand prize in architecture from the Ordre des architectes du Québec. The group has presented and shown their work in Quebec, Canada and abroad and has been invited to teach at Cornell University, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, University of Toronto and University of Calgary. Anne Cormier is also a Professor at the School of Architecture at Université de Montréal, where she has served as director from 2007 to 2015. She is affiliated with the Laboratoire d'étude de l'architecture potentielle (LEAP), an inter-university group dedicated to research on the design process in architecture. She is a member of the National Capital Commission's Advisory Committee on Planning, Design and Realty in Ottawa. She regularly sits on other committees dedicated to excellence in architectural and urban projects and on architectural juries.

Carmela Cucuzzella

*Associate Professor, Design and Computation Arts, Faculty of Fine Arts, Concordia University
Concordia University Research Chair in Integrated Design, Ecology, And Sustainability for the Built Environment (www.ideas-be.ca)*

Carmela Cucuzzella is an Associate Professor in the Design and Computation Arts department and is a holder of the Concordia University Research Chair in Integrated Design, Ecology and Sustainability for the Built Environment (www.ideas-be.ca). Her research work is framed within the broad domain of design studies where she investigates questions of sustainable design for urban living. Her varied background and expertise in environmental and social life cycle analysis, in green building rating systems, and in design and architecture, allow her to adopt a framework revolving around design's interrelated dimensions of the cognitive-instrumental, the moral-practical and the aesthetic-expressive forms of conception and discourse with a focus on the sustainable city.

Izabel Amaral

Assistant Professor, McEwen School of Architecture. Laurentian University Sudbury

Izabel Amaral teaches architecture and structural design at the McEwen School of Architecture where she is an Assistant Professor. A Canadian citizen holding a doctorate from the Université de Montréal, this Brazilian architect has been living in Canada since 2005. Her research focus is on the theories and histories of architecture, where she investigates the design process of architecture, as well as the relationship between technique, construction and aesthetics according to locally skilled and cultural approaches. Her teaching practice involves collaborative design processes, hands-on learning and critical thinking. She has gained significant professional experience of more than five years in agency practice, including three years as a partner, and five years of teaching in Northeastern Brazil, Quebec, and Ontario.

Laura Coucill

Senior Lecturer Manchester School of Architecture

Laura is an award-winning designer with experience in residential and commercial architectural practice. She has held teaching and research positions at Schools of Architecture in Manchester Sheffield and Birmingham. Her research is principally concerned with the implications of policy for architectural design. Her design research methodology foregrounds data-mapping; a method which unites design skill and geolocation to engage with the dynamic, cross-thematic and multifaceted nature of space, which is developed through historic and contemporary urban theory to provide insights into lived experience and resilience. Past work has enabled local planning and policy decision making in Stockport (UK) regional cross-cutting analysis in the Scottish Highlands and Cornwall (UK).

Nada Tarkhan

PhD Candidate, MIT

(previously, Sustainability Consultant, ARUP and Adjunct Professor, Northeastern University)

Nada is a sustainability consultant with extensive industry experience. She has worked in multiple fields of practice including Sustainability and Building Physics Consulting at Arup and Project Management at Jones Lang LaSalle. Nada received her master's degree from the Harvard Graduate School of Design and is currently a PhD candidate at MIT. Her work focuses on enhancing occupied environments through careful assessments of ventilation, daylighting and material use. In addition to this, Nada has been an Adjunct Professor at Northeastern University, where she has lectured on Bio-climatic strategies and energy accounting in design.

Ted Cavanagh

Professor, School of Architecture and Director of Coastal Studio - Dalhousie University

Dr. Cavanagh's research focuses on the design and construction of innovative building prototypes appropriate to the coastal communities of Nova Scotia. He studies the history of innovation in construction technology and its influence on building design. He is the founder of the design/build exchange for North American and European schools of architecture.

Tom Jefferies

Professor of Future Cities, the School of Natural and Built Environment - Queen's University Belfast

Tom Jefferies is Professor of Future Cities in the School of Natural and Built Environment, a prize-winning architect and urban designer. Prior to joining Queen's University Belfast, he was Head of the Manchester School of Architecture (2011-19), and Birmingham School of Architecture. He has taught, lectured and examined internationally. Tom's research investigates relationships between culture, space, landscape process to propose new forms of contemporary urbanism. Expertise in architecture, urban design, landscape, master planning and design codes, architectural history, theory and context, sustainability and heritage as a basis for developing symbiotic relationships between research and interdisciplinary practice.

Sherif Goubran

*Instructor, Department of Architecture, School of Science and Engineering. The American University in Cairo (AUC-Egypt)
PhD candidate, Individualized Program. Concordia University*

Sherif is an instructor at the Department of Architecture at the School of Science and Engineering at the American University in Cairo (Egypt). He is also a PhD candidate in the Individualized Program (INDI) at Concordia University, a Vanier Scholar (SSHRC) and a Concordia Public Scholars program alumnus (2019-2020). He is conducting interdisciplinary research on building sustainability assessment within the fields of design, building engineering and real-estate finance. His PhD research investigates the alignment between sustainable design practices and global sustainable development goals. Sherif completed a MAsc in building engineering and a BSc in architecture. Sherif is actively engaged in several research laboratories, centers, and groups.

ABOUT THE FOREWORD AUTHOR

Brian R. Sinclair

*Professor of Architecture + Environmental Design & Former Dean, School of Architecture, Planning + Landscape, University of Calgary
President, sinclairstudio inc., Calgary Canada*

Dr. Brian R. Sinclair, PhD DrHC FRAIC AIA (Intl) is Professor of Architecture + Environmental Design, and former Dean, in the University of Calgary's School of Architecture, Planning + Landscape. Brian is president of sinclairstudio inc., a multi-disciplinary design|research corporation engaged in an array of global projects. He holds postgraduate degrees in architecture and psychology. An educator and practitioner, Sinclair's expertise and explorations span from science to art. Professional memberships include the American Institute of Architects, Union of Mongolian Architects, Society of Nepalese Architects, Council for Tall Buildings + Urban Habitat, and Fellowship in the Royal Architectural Institute of Canada. His doctoral degree (University of Missouri) focused on an innovative holistic design + planning framework to improve the quality of life for some of the world's poorest people. Scholarship includes professional practice, design methods, open building, agile architecture, strategic planning, integrated design, environmental psychology, international development, systems & sustainability, and the collision of science + spirit.

FOREWORD

Walking the wire – sustainability + design in an uncertain ethos

Brian R. Sinclair

University of Calgary

“Smile

You don't have to spend your days in clouds

Hiding from the sun

Take a look around and see

It's not that easy for anyone”

Chris Rea, 1988.

Today we live in uncertain, unprecedented and unpredictable times. The world we knew – one characterized by reasonable levels of stability and a modicum of sureness – is now dramatically dissolving and disconcertingly dissipating, only to be replaced with a milieu all too commonly cast as chaotic, intense, polarized and improbable. For architects and environmental designers, recent times have proven particularly difficult, in part due to a longstanding subscription to the static, iconic and permanent, and in part due to an ever-increasing marginalization of the services they deliver to societies in flux. That said, the turbulence that has arrived so abruptly into our cities, communities and lives now offers opportunities for positive change through the vehicle of design and via our toolsets, our mindsets, our means and our methods. While architecture in a bricks and mortar age celebrated solidity and hardness, environmental design today finds itself in a place where agility, softness, responsivity and responsibility loom large.

Sustainability factors centrally into such musings. With decreasing resources, escalating climate change, growing tension and heightened risk, architecture finds itself in an interesting position. Undeniably part of the problem of environmental decay, buildings contribute in serious ways to our planet's demise. However, in light of rising greenhouse gas emissions, burgeoning landfill contributions, declining public health and other distressing developments, architecture and environmental design proffers hope. Design by its nature is well equipped to tackle highly complex challenges and penetratingly perplexing problems. Architects, landscape

architects, interior, industrial and urban designers, to name but a few vital players, all hold strategic keys to move us in the right directions. That said, many strategic adjustments and tactical maneuvers are required, including in realms political, to unleash the power of design within and to a world in need.

Carmela Cucuzzella and Sherif Goubran's new book, entitled "Sustainable Architecture – Between Measurement and Meaning" arrives at a significant point in time. Their edited volume challenges many of the assumptions that have developed in recent years concerning the concept of 'sustainability'. Sustainability is a term that has amplified in reach and expanded in meaning, being widely embraced by many sectors of society in an effort to turn a ship that is perceptibly careening towards disaster. However, with such a strong uptake comes confusion and, at times disarray. In many ways and in many corners we encounter jargon fatigue, sensing on one hand the imperative to act yet often unclear concerning which steps to take. One major obstacle to moving ahead with purpose and success is the West's obsession with metrics, facts and truths. Metrics can miss their mark. Facts can shift based on the efficacy of our instrumentation and the potency of our theories. Truths are regularly relative. An all too common mantra espoused by a wealth of players suggests, "If you can't count it, it doesn't count". However, not all that matters, or that might or should reckon in our equations, can be readily counted or easily characterized.

The new edited volume takes a crucial step forward in its direct challenge of conventional thinking on sustainability. Highlighting the proposition that sustainability must be cast beyond math and measurement, the various chapters serve to open our minds to new ways of seeing, thinking and acting. Beyond the easily quantified dimensions of an environmental design project, whether energy consumption, water usage or volatile organic compounds levels, resides aspects that inject substance and meaning into our journeys. The various invited authors, across their diverse and thoughtful chapters, reveal features of architecture and design that, at the end of the day, prove the most essential to a more sustainable world. Rather than merely discounting the value of evidence, research and matters empirical, the authors accept the power of contemporary science while moving beyond to capture more ethereal dimensions of inhabitation that are vital to realizing truly sustainable cities, neighborhoods, buildings, places and spaces.

Modern civilization has, on numerous counts, slipped into a milieu where dualistic thinking has obscured our ability to see with clarity and definition. We cast situations as polarities: art-science, poetic-pragmatic, soft-hard, intuitive-rational, analog-digital, heart-head, feeling-thinking, and so on. This clinical parsing of our world, and the accompanying pressures to then take sides, has been destructive and counter-productive. It has ushered in spheres of fragmentation, isolation, separation, disconnection and disenfranchisement. Further, and all too often, one side of the spectrum has been advantaged above the other, rendering

science, technology, engineering and math above other means of understanding. Considering my own world & self views, informed by my posturing as an architect and psychologist and influenced by my background spanning science and art, I see the present situation as dire and in need of numerous and concerted surgical strikes. At the center of the challenge is the need for balance, equilibrium and holism. In my holistic framework for design and planning, I underscore the need for harmonious interplay of agility, fitness, diversity and delight. This last quality, one that acknowledges beauty, pursues happiness and accepts things incomprehensible, has been controversial – in large part due to its inability to be empirically defined. That said, the notion of delight carries as much cache and worth as any components of a project that can be quickly counted, simply metered or methodically measured.

The present edited book is significant in many ways, but perhaps most vividly through its inclusion of an array of facets that make our sojourns interesting, satisfying and meaningful. Sustainability is far more than operational savings, water conservation and reduced embodied energy. Sustainability must be deeper and richer, accounting for more indeterminate and qualitative features such as social value, aesthetic wealth, amplified well-being, cultural vibrancy, and spiritual tilling. Cucuzzella and Goubran's timely volume serves to apply the brakes to our amplified techno-centric trajectory, affording the reader an opportunity to consider the richness of design and its capacity to provide more appropriate, more sensitive and more human environments for living, playing, working, surviving and thriving.

While the environmental design professions have made serious strides forward in the last several decades, with respect to reducing ecological footprints and increasing quality of life, much more work stands ahead. While initial building rating approaches were overly simplistic and too narrow, recent iterations and advancements have moved the needle towards individual health and societal well-being. Such progress is encouraging and essential. However given recent crises, including the arrival of a global pandemic, the rise of anti-racist movements, the growing political tensions apparent within and between nations, the growing wealth divide, to name but a few daunting challenges in our lives, there is an urgency for architects and allied professionals to act. Such action must consider the behavior of complex systems – we cannot continue with piecemeal gestures and partial steps that too often are uncoordinated, inappropriate and impotent. To my mind r/evolution will demand a far-reaching embrace that encircles science, the arts, the humanities, culture, context and spirituality. We must not be fearful on treading on ground unexplored and anticipating collisions unprecedented. We must also be willing to invest the time and resources required to tailor solutions to place and circumstance – in a new domain of sustainability one size does not fit all and

universal answers are unlikely. Fortunately, science and technology, wisely and humbly coupled with common sense and human-centric orientation, can generate place-based solutions that meet expectations, extend comfort and reduce impact. An era of smart cities, intelligent buildings and responsive spaces is possible, and should be efficacious if driven by both artificial intelligence and mortal compassion. As we confront unfathomable uncertainty we must learn to take greater risks, to accept that not everything is comprehensible and to grasp that steadiness, moderation and open-mindedness are central aspirations.

The arrival of *Sustainable Architecture – Between Measurement and Meaning* is a welcomed addition to our national and international conversations on the future of cities and societies. Through its varied and compelling chapters, the book calls for a reconsideration of design in light of rapidly shifting realities in our new millennium. The authors provide us with differing, thought-provoking yet complementary vantage points for evaluating our place, processes and progress as we dwell on an ever more distressed planet. Carmela Cucuzzella and Sherif Goubran are commended for their vision, efforts and success in confronting the status quo, and for shepherding a talented ensemble of authors to join them on this acute journey.

Dr. Brian R. Sinclair, PhD DrHC FRAICAlA (Intl)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the financial support provided through the Concordia University Research Chair program and the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, without which this project could not have taken form. We would like to thank Maddy Capozzi for developing the design of the book cover. In addition, we greatly appreciate the excellent copy-editing and editorial assistance we received from Ian Anthony Taylor. We would like to thank Professor Terrance Galvin for his careful review of the manuscript. We would also like to thank Professor Brian Sinclair for his insightful foreword, which captures the essence of the collection. Finally, we feel greatly indebted to all the contributors to this collection for their flexibility and patience throughout the development of the project.

INTRODUCTION

Caught between measurement and meaning

Carmela Cucuzzella

Concordia University

Sherif Goubran

The American University in Cairo

INTRODUCTION

We often think about sustainable architecture as a way of designing and constructing buildings to exist in harmony with the environment around them. We think in terms of reducing negative impacts on flora, fauna, natural resources, our communities, and our economy. For many of these imperative objectives, measurement is key to designing sustainably. But how do sustainable buildings affect the interrelated qualities of our built environment, though, and how do they relate more generally to quality of life for all living species?

Philippe Boudon has stated that “measure[ment] in architectural design necessarily associates some qualitative and quantitative, but it is understandable that this follows the notion that it is not possible to have any measurement without meaning” (Boudon 1999a, p.9, translated by authors). If we agree that measurement consists of assigning a number to a characteristic of an object or event so that it can be compared with other objects or events, then the process inherently embeds the relevance of the qualities of its object or event. The practice of sustainable architecture involves an interminable list of measurements meant to enumerate environmental damages and optimizations of processes. These quantitative facts and figures proceed only because there is an intention to envision, understand and manage the harmful impacts of architecture and construction. Sustainable architecture has been overrun by measurements, but at what devastating architectural cost, and more importantly, to what concrete sustainable development outcomes?

Despite all that we know about the sustainability crisis today, despite the many sustainability parameters we measure or manage, and despite our adeptness at developing new eco-technologies, the rate of environmental

damages is still increasing across the planet (Venter et al., 2016). While population growth is one of the most well-known factors affecting the environment (Ehrlich, 1968), it alone does not explain this phenomenon. All sectors of development contribute a share of this destruction: i.e. transportation, food, building, and infrastructure, etc. But the prominent effects of buildings on the environment have been long established. On a global scale, buildings (during construction and operations) consume approximately 40% of the energy supply, 30% of the raw materials, 12% of the global freshwater, and to globally generate up to 20% of the global GHG, 40% of the total landfill waste, and 20% of the water effluents.

Indeed, energy and emissions reduction goals are not new; they were first propagated during the 1973 oil crisis (Peffer et al., 2011) and have been deeply embedded in the technical advancement of buildings since. In many cases, it is technology that is driving innovation in the built environment (De Dear, 2011). Similarly, the technological emphasis of resource efficiency for addressing environmental degradation systematically developed throughout the 1980s and 1990s began to reach its limitations around the turn of the century (Cucuzzella, 2009; Rossi, 2004; Papanek, 2000). In more recent years, energy infrastructure and grid limitation have pushed researchers to explore means of controlling energy demand – especially during peak hours (Zehir et al., 2019). When the strategy of eco-efficiency is adopted at the exclusion of other design approaches, it tends to subordinate central complex concerns of design to a stated mission (Rotor, 2014; Guy & Moore, 2005; Hansen & Knudstrup, 2005).

The strategy underlying eco-efficiency has as its goal the *prevention* of risks – the reduction of risks that are known and measurable. Such a goal is the product of a society where there is little tolerance for the occurrence of risks and significant effort put towards mitigating their outcomes (Cucuzzella, 2016a). Given the dual crises of climate change and environmental degradation, this is quite justified. However, research has shown that overuse of eco-efficiencies on their own may actually lead to increased environmental impacts. At times, this may be related to unintended outcomes of performance optimizations, and at other times, to unrelated secondary or tertiary activities or sectors (Alcott, 2008; Herring & Roy, 2007; Sorrell, 2007; Madlener & Alcott, 2006). Over 150 years ago, William Stanley Jevons discovered that gains in energy efficiency ultimately lead to greater energy consumption (Polimeni, Mayumi, Giampietro, & Alcott, 2008), and his paradox shows up in various aspects of life even today¹. The phenomenon is clearly apparent in residential energy consumption.

¹ For example, the wider that designers build a given highway, the less traffic jams are

PAGES MISSING
FROM THIS FREE SAMPLE

INDEX

A

aesthetics, 6, 29, 38, 97, 98, 100,
105, 114
air, 16, 18, 28, 49, 52, 53, 58, 61
appropriate technology, 117, 122,
123, 124, 133, 134, 135, 136,
138, 139, 141, 145
assessment, 3, 29, 50, 52, 62, 70, 73,
75, 78, 93, 99

B

behaviour, 3, 56, 81, 83, 84, 120
human behaviour, 5, 49, 81, 92

C

certification, 3, 17, 47, 52, 53, 54,
64, 65
climate change, 2, 36, 39, 93, 98,
112
comfort, 16, 25, 50, 51, 53, 57, 60
complexity, 3, 4, 5, 50, 71, 73, 75,
78, 79, 91, 93
craft, 8, 97, 98, 105, 108, 109, 112,
114, 137, 140
critique, 24, 109, 145
cultural, 3, 6, 7, 23, 26, 30, 31, 71,
72, 73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 81, 85,
87, 88, 89, 91, 92, 93, 105, 108,
109, 110, 114, 126, 134, 140, 144
culturally responsive, 71, 72, 78,
144
culturally responsive analysis,
72, 79, 87, 88, 91

culture, 31, 37, 42, 63, 72, 79, 91,
93, 98, 104, 124, 128, 134

D

data, 16, 63, 76, 83, 85
data representation, 8, 83
design approaches, 2, 3, 5, 71, 72,
74
determinant, 46, 62, 102
digital, 15, 19, 21, 47, 55, 57, 61, 65,
77, 78, 79, 83, 84, 85, 86, 130,
131, 138
digital communication, 21
digital model, 4, 130, 131, 138
digitalization, 4, 144
dimension, 6, 8, 14, 15, 19, 20, 21,
23, 26, 27, 45, 69, 72, 97, 100,
104, 105, 108, 114, 138, 140,
144, 145

E

eco-features, 5, 32, 36, 37, 39
eco-friendly, 24, 114
effective, 33, 55, 70, 71, 72, 77, 81,
91, 93, 136, 139, 144
effectiveness, 8, 69, 70, 71, 72,
79, 93
efficiency, 2, 3, 8, 18, 20, 24, 26, 29,
33, 45, 49, 51, 52, 63, 65, 69, 70,
71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 78, 79, 81,
83, 91, 93, 104, 108
eco-efficiency, 2, 24, 26
emissions, 2, 3, 99
energy, 2, 3, 4, 14, 20, 26, 28, 29,
30, 32, 33, 37, 39, 49, 51, 52, 53,

63, 65, 72, 74, 75, 78, 79, 80, 81,
83, 89, 92, 93, 104, 145
energy infrastructure, 2, 79, 89
environment, 2, 4, 5, 14, 16, 21, 28,
29, 31, 36, 38, 42, 46, 49, 53, 55,
59, 61, 62, 63, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76,
77, 78, 85, 92, 98, 103, 134, 145
environmental, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 17,
21, 23, 24, 26, 28, 29, 32, 33, 36,
37, 38, 42, 49, 53, 56, 57, 60, 63,
70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 76, 78, 79, 81,
98, 108, 109, 114, 143, 145
environmental accounting, 5, 72
environmental degradation, 2, 7
environmental hazards, 17
environmental management, 3,
4, 5
environmental parameters, 56,
60
environmental preconditions,
71, 72
environmental quality, 57, 63
environmental technologies, 4,
30
techno-environmental, 64
evaluation, 3, 5, 17, 26, 47, 55, 61,
65, 73, 75, 79
re-evaluation, 70, 71, 83
experimentation, 106, 140

F

fresh air, 18, 36, 51, 54, 58

G

green, 3, 4, 7, 19, 25, 36, 37, 38, 40,
52, 53, 78, 99, 127, 139
grid, 2, 89, 117, 128, 130, 132, 133,
137, 138, 139

H

health, 17, 52, 53, 55, 58, 108
heating and cooling, 33, 74
human body, 15, 16, 17, 105

I

immaterial, 8, 97, 99, 101, 107, 108,
114
infrastructure, 2, 55, 56, 70, 75, 77,
79, 83, 88, 89
intention, 1, 15, 28, 47, 49, 57, 70,
73

L

LEED, 19, 29, 38, 52, 53, 56, 73
local, 4, 6, 8, 26, 30, 31, 39, 62, 70,
72, 77, 81, 83, 88, 89, 91, 108,
109, 110, 117, 118, 119, 123,
124, 126, 128, 133, 134, 135,
136, 137, 139, 140
localness, 123, 134

M

measurement, xx, 1, 4, 7, 23, 28, 51,
52, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 69, 75, 143,
145
metrics, 8, 28, 51, 52, 65, 69, 70, 73,
74, 75, 77, 78, 81, 84, 143, 144

N

nature, 3, 5, 16, 20, 25, 26, 39, 48,
50, 61, 63, 78, 79, 99, 100, 103,
107, 108, 114, 130, 135, 141, 145

O

occupant, 49, 50, 51, 52, 55, 56, 59,
61, 63, 136
ontology, 24, 25, 27, 40
optimize, 49, 111

P

paradigm, 6, 19, 21, 74, 85, 97, 103,
109
passive, 25, 26, 29, 48, 50, 76
perception, 7, 23, 26, 27, 28, 32, 33,
36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 59, 61, 91,
98, 99, 104, 105, 107, 108, 144
performance, 2, 3, 5, 18, 24, 28, 29,
37, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 55, 60, 61,
62, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 78,
80, 81, 83, 85, 87, 88, 91, 93, 127,
128, 139
performance verification, 60
phenomenology, 25, 98, 104
phenomenological ontology, 25
physiological effects, 52, 54
potential, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31,
32, 33, 34, 35, 40, 42, 49, 50, 55,
61, 80, 81, 83, 85, 92, 93, 97, 100,
101, 108, 113, 134, 136, 137, 144
potentiality, 25, 29, 32, 35, 36,
40
productivity, 19, 53, 144
prototyping, 117, 118, 122, 123,
125, 145

Q

qualitative, 1, 6, 8, 45, 48, 69, 75,
144
quantitative, 1, 4, 6, 23, 57, 69

S

scale, 2, 4, 5, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20,
21, 25, 26, 29, 35, 46, 49, 50, 55,
57, 65, 73, 74, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81,
89, 99, 109, 113, 117, 123, 125,
128
immaterial scales, 99, 101
scalar, 4, 46, 47, 48, 55, 61, 62,
65, 70, 71, 79
scales of sustainability, 14, 20, 21
site, 7, 29, 30, 31, 33, 35, 39, 74,
105, 106, 108, 110, 111, 120,
126, 127, 135, 137, 139
smart, 25, 26, 51, 77, 78, 85, 92, 93
smart cities, 78, 83
social, 3, 4, 6, 7, 23, 24, 48, 64, 70,
71, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 79, 81, 85,
105, 114, 118, 125, 134, 136,
137, 139, 140, 141, 144
society, 2, 4, 6, 21, 28, 30, 42, 70,
76, 78, 79, 89, 93, 109
societal benefit, 72
standard, 15, 16, 55, 59, 119, 123,
133
standardization, 123
sun, 18, 39, 49, 59
sunlight, 18
sustainability, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 14, 18,
19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 30,
32, 34, 36, 38, 39, 42, 47, 48, 49,
51, 52, 62, 64, 65, 69, 70, 71, 72,
73, 74, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 88,
91, 92, 98, 99, 104, 108, 109,
118, 144, 145
smart sustainability, 78
sustainability crisis, 1
sustainability debate, 21
sustainable
sustainable architecture, 1, 3, 4,
5, 6, 7, 8, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28,
29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36,

37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 45, 69, 70,
72, 91, 97, 102, 117, 143, 144,
145
sustainable behaviour, 71, 72,
91
sustainable outcomes, 70, 72, 91
sustainable space, 70, 74, 75, 76,
79, 91
symbol, 37, 100, 111
symbolic, 6, 25, 26, 100, 101,
102, 103, 105, 108, 111, 114
symbolism, 31, 34, 36, 37

T

technical, 2, 7, 29, 45, 48, 76, 80,
87, 88, 89, 91, 97, 99, 100, 101,
103, 105, 114, 123, 134, 143, 145
technology, 2, 3, 6, 15, 26, 28, 29,
30, 31, 32, 33, 45, 48, 49, 50, 51,
53, 55, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65, 69, 75,
76, 77, 85, 86, 92, 108, 117, 118,
119, 122, 123, 124, 125, 135,
136, 139, 140, 141, 144, 145
eco-technologies, 1, 5, 7, 28, 30,
33
emerging technological
frameworks, 51, 55

renewable technologies, 80
sensor technology, 46, 49, 50,
55, 63, 64, 65
tectonics, 8, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101,
104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 114, 145
theory, 7, 48, 63, 72, 89, 97, 98, 99,
100, 101, 103, 104, 108, 112,
114, 117, 118, 119, 133, 139,
140, 143, 145
transformation, 18, 19, 49, 105, 114
transportation, 2, 104
typology, 48, 49, 79, 89

V

Volatile Organic Compounds, 53,
54, 56

W

water, 2, 28, 29, 33, 51
WELL, 52, 56, 59, 60
wellness, 45, 47, 51, 52, 53, 55, 56,
62, 65
wood, 100, 101, 108, 109, 112, 114,
119, 120, 125, 126, 130, 134,
137, 138, 139